

1 ANDREW E. MONACH (CA SBN 87891)
2 SHANE BRUN (CA SBN 179079)
3 FRANCIS HO (CA SBN 247426)
4 MORRISON & FOERSTER LLP
5 425 Market Street
6 San Francisco, California 94105-2482
7 Telephone: 415.268.7000
8 Facsimile: 415.268.7522
9
10 Attorneys for Plaintiff
11 FUJITSU HITACHI PLASMA DISPLAY LTD.

8 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
9 NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

11 FUJITSU HITACHI PLASMA DISPLAY LTD.,
12 a Japanese Corporation,
13 Plaintiff,
14 v.
15 LG ELECTRONICS, INC., a Korean Corporation,
16 Defendant.

Case No. C 07-2201 JCS

**PLAINTIFF'S NOTICE TO THE
COURT REGARDING STATUS
OF SERVICE OF PROCESS**

17
18 Plaintiff, Fujitsu Hitachi Plasma Display Ltd. ("FHP"), hereby notifies the Court
19 regarding the status of service of process on defendant LG Electronics, Inc. ("LGE"). This notice
20 is supported by the Affidavit of Gary A. Crowe.

21 Plaintiff filed the Complaint on April 23, 2007. The Complaint names as a defendant
22 LGE, which is alleged, on information and belief, to be a Korean Corporation. Immediately
23 following the filing of the complaint, Plaintiff initiated service of process on LGE under the
24 Hague Convention by having a specialist in foreign service of process deliver the relevant
25 documents, including translations, to the Central Authority in Seoul, Korea on May 4, 2007. *See*
26 Affidavit of Gary A. Crowe ("Crowe Aff."), ¶ 6. Effecting service on a Korean corporation
27 requires a significant time for compliance. In this case, the contemplated time for service of
28

1 process is about three months form the initial delivery to Korea, and may take longer. *See* Crowe
2 Aff., ¶ 9.

3 Although the time limits for service of process under Rule 4(m) of the Federal Rules of
4 Civil Procedure do not apply to cases such as this one, where service is being effected under Rule
5 4(f), Plaintiff wanted to advise the Court that service is being pursued in a timely manner. If
6 service by the Korean authorities is not completed well in advance of the date for filing the Rule
7 26(f) Report, currently set for July 20 (with an Initial Case Management Conference currently set
8 for July 27), Plaintiff will so inform the Court and request a short continuance of the CMC-related
9 dates.

10
11 Dated: June 7, 2007

ANDREW E. MONACH
SHANE BRUN
FRANCIS HO
MORRISON & FOERSTER LLP

12
13
14
15 By: /s/
16 Andrew E. Monach

17 Attorneys for Plaintiff
18 FUJITSU HITACHI PLASMA
19 DISPLAY LTD.
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28